Seasonal Variations of Titan’s Brightness
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Abstract The absolute brightness of astronomical bodies can be represented by the emitted power, which plays important roles in their radiated energy budgets. The Cassini observations include three seasons of Titan, which provides an unprecedented opportunity to examine the seasonal variations of Titan’s emitted power. Our analyses show that Titan’s emitted power displays different seasonal behaviors between the Northern Hemisphere and the Southern Hemisphere. The global-average emitted power decreased by 6.8 ± 0.4% during the Cassini period (2004–2017). Such a temporal variation represents the magnitude of the seasonal cycle of Titan’s emitted power, which is at least one order of magnitude stronger than the seasonal variation of Earth’s emitted power (<0.5%). More importantly, the ~6.8% decrease of the emitted power is much smaller than the ~18.6% decrease of the solar flux from the change of Sun-Titan distance, implying a significantly dynamical energy budget on Titan.

1. Introduction

The absolute brightness of astronomical bodies is referred to as luminosity, which is further defined as the total emitted power. For planets and satellites, the emitted power can be combined with the absorbed solar energy to determine the radiated energy budgets for these bodies (Conrath et al., 1989; Hanel et al., 2003). The radiant energy budget is one important factor determining weather and climate for planets and satellites with atmospheres (Peixoto & Oort, 1992). Gas giant planets have a large imbalance of the emitted energy versus the absorbed energy (Conrath et al., 1989; Ingersoll, 1990), which is due to internal heat left over from formation (Guillot et al., 2004; Hubbard, 1968, 1980; Stevenson & Salpeter, 1977). For terrestrial bodies, such as Earth, the emitted energy and the absorbed energy are roughly balanced (Kiehl & Trenberth, 1997; Trenberth et al., 2009), but a small energy imbalance is possible (Hansen et al., 2005, 2011; Li et al., 2011; Trenberth et al., 2014; Trenberth & Fasullo, 2010). Such a small imbalance has large impacts on weather and climate of terrestrial bodies (Hansen et al., 2005, 2011; Trenberth et al., 2014; Trenberth & Fasullo, 2010). Earth’s energy budget has been extensively researched, but the energy budgets of other terrestrial bodies are not as well known.

Titan, the only satellite with a significant atmosphere in our solar system, shares many atmospheric and surface characteristics with Earth. Here, we examine the seasonal variations of Titan’s emitted power and suggest a possible energy imbalance on the satellite. One factor affecting Titan’s emitted power is the solar flux. The solar flux at Titan varies with time as the satellite accompanies Saturn along its orbital path around the Sun. Titan has an elliptical orbit around the Sun with an eccentricity ~0.057. Such a large eccentricity means that the solar flux at the distance of Titan decreases ~20% from the perihelion to the aphelion on its orbital path. The varying solar flux will modify the thermal structure of Titan’s atmosphere via radiative processes (Achterberg et al., 2011; Mitchell, 2012), which in turn affects the emitted power from Titan.

Based on the observations recorded by the composite infrared spectrometer (CIRS) onboard the Cassini spacecraft, we have already explored Titan’s emitted power (Li, 2015; Li et al., 2011). Our recent study (Li, 2015), which is based on the CIRS data in 3 years (i.e., 2007, 2009, 2012/13), cannot resolve the seasonal variations. Here, we measure Titan’s emitted power in the complete period of the Cassini mission (2004–2017). The long-term continuous observations from the Cassini spacecraft provide an unprecedented opportunity to examine the seasonal variations of Titan’s emitted power over half a Titan year. More importantly, the comparison of seasonal variations between the emitted power and the solar flux suggests a possible energy imbalance on Titan.
2. Data and Method

The thermal spectra, which were acquired by Cassini/CIRS (Flasar et al., 2004), are used to compute the emitted power of Titan. We examine all CIRS data from 2004 to 2017, which are available on the Planetary Data System. The average time of the CIRS data in each year and the corresponding solar longitude, subsolar latitude, and Sun-Titan distance are summarized in supporting information, Table S1. The data from 2004 and 2005 are grouped together because the Cassini recorded high-quality data in 3 months (October–December) only in 2004. From 2004–05 to 2017 the solar longitude changed from 304.4° to 90.4°, and the subsolar latitude changed from 21.5°S to 26.7°N. Table S1 shows that the Cassini period covers almost one half Saturnian year, which includes one complete season (spring in the Northern Hemisphere [NH]) and parts of two seasons (winter and summer in the NH). Therefore, the Cassini observations make it possible to examine the seasonal variations of Titan's emitted power over a half Titan year.

Table S1 also shows that the distance between the Sun and Titan increased from 9.07 AU in 2004–05 to 10.05 AU in 2017. During the Cassini period of 2004–2017, Titan moved from a position close to the perihelion (July, 2003) to a position close to the aphelion (March, 2018) on its orbit around the Sun. The variations of Sun-Titan distance cause the solar flux to vary from the maximum to the minimum roughly during the Cassini period. Therefore, we can examine the response of Titan’s emitted power to the extremes of solar flux.

The processing of the CIRS data and the corresponding methodology of computing the emitted power have already been described in our previous studies (Li, 2015; Li et al., 2010, 2011, 2012), which are briefly introduced here. The CIRS raw data, which are referred to the solid surface of Titan, are first projected onto a reference altitude of 500 km to include all effective thermal emission from Titan's thick atmosphere (Li et al., 2011). Then the projected data are averaged in the directions of latitude and emission angle, which are shown in supporting information, Figure S1.

Figure S1 shows that there are observational gaps in the data, particularly towards the poles. In addition, the observational gaps vary with time. In our previous study (Li, 2015), only the CIRS data in the 3 years with good coverage (e.g., 2007, 2009, and 2012/13) are analyzed. In this study, we first increase the coverage for these years with the relatively poor coverage (e.g., the year 2011 in Figure S1) by linear interpolation/extrapolation in space and time from the neighboring data. Then we apply the least-squares method to fill the remaining observational gaps, as we did in our previous study (Li, 2015).

The resulting distribution of radiance in the domain of latitude and emission angle is shown in supporting information, Figure S2. Integrating the data in the direction of emission angle, we get the meridional distribution of Titan's emitted power. In addition, the hemispheric and global averages of Titan's emitted power are computed. Regarding the uncertainties of our measurements, we basically follow the uncertainty analysis conducted in one of our previous studies (Li et al., 2010). There are mainly two uncertainty sources as follows: (1) the uncertainty related to the Cassini/CIRS data calibration and (2) the uncertainty related to filling the observational gaps by the least-squares fitting. For the first uncertainty source, we use the CIRS spectra of deep space to estimate its magnitude (Li et al., 2010). For the second uncertainty source, the main idea is to use the statistical characteristics of fitting residuals at these observed points to estimate the possible uncertainty of unobserved points (i.e., observational gaps; Li et al., 2010, 2011, 2012, 2015). In this study, before the least-squares fitting, we use the linear interpolation/extrapolate to increase the data coverage for these years with the relatively poor coverage. When computing the second uncertainty, the fitting residuals in these interpolated/extrapolated points are also counted for these years with the relatively poor coverage. It should be mentioned that the selected CIRS wavenumber range (10–1,430 cm\(^{-1}\)), which is used for the computation of Titan's emitted power, does not cover the complete wavenumber range for Titan's thermal emission. But the thermal radiance outside of the selected wavenumber range contributes to ~0.025% of Titan's total emitted power, which is approximately one order of magnitude smaller than the uncertainties discussed above. Therefore, such an uncertainty is not discussed in this study.

3. Results

We first discuss the temporal variations of the meridional distribution of Titan's emitted power. Panel A of Figure 1 shows the emitted power at each latitude from 2004 to 2017. In the tropical region (30°N–30°S),
there is a weak increase from 2004 to 2007, followed by a decrease from 2009 to 2017. In the middle latitudes and polar region of the Southern Hemisphere (SH; 30°S–90°S), Titan’s emitted power experienced continuous decrease from 2004 to 2017. Panel B of Figure 1 suggests that the decrease in the tropical region, and the SH is mainly related to the decreasing solar flux in the same regions.

In the NH, the temporal variations of Titan’s emitted power are more complicated. In the middle and high latitudes of the NH, the emitted power displays nonmonotonic increase during the period of 2004–2017 (panel A of Figure 1). The different behaviors of emitted power between the SH and the NH to the first order are probably related to the different temporal variations of the solar flux in the two hemispheres. From 2004 to 2017, the SH experienced summer, autumn, and winter with the subsolar latitude moved from south to north (see Table S1). In addition, the distance between the Sun and Titan increased from 2004 to 2017. The combined effect from the seasonal transition and the increasing Sun–Titan distance caused the solar flux to continuously decreased from 2004 to 2017 in the SH. The decreasing solar flux and the corresponding changes of atmospheric temperature (Achterberg et al., 2011) contribute to the temporal variations of Titan’s emitted power in the SH. On the other hand, the NH experienced winter, spring, and summer during the Cassini period. The seasonal transition and the increasing Sun-Titan distance had opposing effects on the solar flux in the NH. Therefore, the temporal variations of solar flux are more complicated in the NH, in which the solar flux increased from 2004 to 2015 and basically kept constant from 2015 to 2017 in most latitudes of the NH (Figure 1). The nonmonotonic temporal variations of the solar flux probably contribute to the complexities of the temporal behaviors of Titan’s emitted power in the NH. However, the temporal variations of the solar flux cannot exactly match the more complicated temporal behaviors of emitted power in the NH, which suggests that there are other time-varying factors (e.g., clouds, hazes, polar lakes/seas, and air-surface interaction; Lorenz et al., 1999; Roe et al., 2002; Griffith et al., 2005; Lorenz & Smith, 2005; Lockwood & Thompson, 2009; Hayes et al., 2011; Rodríguez et al., 2011; Turtle et al., 2011; Moore et al., 2014; Mitchell & Juan, 2016; Jennings, 2016; West et al., 2018) playing roles in the temporal variations of Titan’s emitted power.

In our previous studies of the emitted power of Jupiter and Saturn (Li et al., 2010, 2012), the comparison of the meridional profile between the emitted power and the atmospheric temperature was used to determine the pressure levels which the emitted power mainly comes from. For Titan, there are still difficulties in retrieving the meridional distribution of temperature in the lower atmosphere (e.g., troposphere) with the Cassini/CIRS observations, even though the meridional distribution of temperature in the upper atmosphere (e.g., stratosphere and mesosphere) have been obtained (Achterberg et al., 2008; Flasar et al., 2005). The observations from the Huygens probe and the Cassini radio occultation provided vertical profiles
of temperature in Titan’s troposphere (Fulchignoni et al., 2005; Schinder et al., 2012), but these observations have a limited coverage of latitude. Therefore, the method of determining the effective pressure levels for the emitted power by the meridional comparison between the emitted power and the atmospheric temperature, which works for Jupiter and Saturn (Li et al., 2010, 2012), does not work for Titan because of the lack of the meridional profiles of tropospheric temperature.

Here, we separate Titan’s total emitted power into three ranges of wavenumber, which are recorded by the CIRS three focal planes, to examine the atmospheric layers contributing to the emitted power. The wavenumbers of the CIRS focal planes are sensitive to specific pressure levels, which can help differentiate layers of Titan’s atmosphere (Flasar et al., 2004) for contributing to Titan’s emitted power. The three CIRS focal planes (FPs) cover different wavenumbers: FP1 (10–6.95 cm\(^{-1}\)), FP3 (570–1,425 cm\(^{-1}\)), and FP4 (1,025–1,430 cm\(^{-1}\)). There are overlaps of wavenumber among the three focal planes, so we select wavenumber ranges 10–600 cm\(^{-1}\) for FP1, 600–1,050 cm\(^{-1}\) for FP3, and 1,050–1,430 cm\(^{-1}\) for FP4 to avoid the overlaps. By looking at these focal planes, we can better understand how the thermal emission from different layers changes with time. Additionally, we can see how different atmospheric layers are affected by the solar flux. Based on the weighting functions and inversion kernels of the CIRS observations (Flasar et al., 2004), we know the wavenumbers of FP1, FP3, and FP4 are mostly sensitive to the upper troposphere/tropopause, the middle stratosphere, and the upper stratosphere/lower mesosphere, respectively. Titan’s thermal spectra peak around the wavenumbers ~160 cm\(^{-1}\), which are covered by the FP1. Therefore, the thermal radiance recorded by the FP1 is dominant in the total emitted power of Titan. In addition, previous studies (Anderson & Samuelson, 2011; Flasar et al., 2004; Lellouch et al., 2014) suggest that the thermal radiance around 160 cm\(^{-1}\) mainly comes from pressure levels between 50 mbar and 300 mbar in Titan’s atmosphere.

Supporting information, Figure S3 shows Titan’s emitted power recorded by the CIRS three focal planes, which suggests that FP3 and FP4 (panels B and C) have the similar patterns especially in the tropical region and the SH. Titan’s emitted power in the wavenumber ranges of FP3 and FP4 decreased from 2004 to 2017 in the tropical region and the SH, but the temporal variations in the middle and high latitudes of the NH are complicated. The emitted power recorded by FP3 and FP4 mainly comes from stratosphere and lower mesosphere, and these high layers are more easily affected by the solar flux and have short radiative time constants. Therefore, the decreasing emitted power from 2004 to 2017 recorded by FP3 and FP4 in the tropical region and the SH is related to the decreasing solar flux in the same regions (panel B of Figure 1). The complicated behaviors of Titan’s emitted power in the middle and high latitudes of the NH are probably related to other activities in the high atmosphere (e.g., Coustenis, 2005; Coustenis et al., 2018; Teanby et al., 2008, 2012; West et al., 2018).

Compared to the relatively simple behaviors of Titan’s emitted power recorded by FP3 and FP4, the temporal variations of the emitted power recorded by FP1 have complicated patterns from 2004 to 2017, which are shown in panel A of Figure S3. There is a couple of factors that contribute to the complexities. First, the wavenumbers of FP1 are mainly sensitive to the upper troposphere and tropopause of Titan’s atmosphere, where the radiative time constant is a few Earth years (Flasar et al., 1981). Therefore, we cannot expect direct and clear correlation between the emitted power recorded by FP1 and the solar flux. Second, there are active weather processes in Titan’s troposphere (Griffith et al., 2005; Rodríguez et al., 2011; Roe et al., 2002), which modify the thermal structure of Titan’s upper troposphere and hence affect the temporal variations of the emitted power recorded by FP1.

In addition to the investigations of the temporal variations of spatial structures of Titan’s emitted power, we discuss the seasonal variations of the global and hemispheric averages of Titan’s emitted power. Panel A of Figure 2 shows the temporal variations of the global-average emitted power from 2004 to 2017, which is compared with the temporally varying solar flux at Titan. There is basically a decreasing trend for the global-average emitted power during the Cassini period, which is consistent with the decreasing trend of solar flux (panel A of Figure 2). To investigate if there is a time lag between the emitted power and solar flux, we use a sine function to fit the global-average emitted power. Assuming the global-average emitted power also has a seasonal cycle with a period ~29.4 years (i.e., Saturn’s orbital period), we fit the global-average emitted power and then compare it with the global-average solar flux. Supporting information, Figure S4 shows that there is a shift ~3 years between the global-average solar flux and the fitting global-average emitted power, which suggests that Titan’s global-average emitted power responds to the solar flux with a time lag ~3 years.
In addition to the time lag between the emitted power and the solar flux, there is another difference between them. The global-average solar flux at Titan monotonically decreased during the Cassini period, but Titan’s global-average emitted power did not show such monotonic variations. The global-average emitted power decreased in steps from 2004 to 2017. The global emitted power roughly kept constant from 2004 to 2007, then decreased from 2007 to 2011, then roughly kept constant again from 2011 to 2013, and finally decreased from 2013 to 2017. The different behaviors between the monotonic variations of solar flux and the nonmonotonic variations of global-average emitted power suggest that there are other factors affecting Titan’s emitted power.

During the whole Cassini period, the global-average solar flux at Titan monotonically decreased by 18.6% from 4.163 W/m² in 2004–05 to 3.389 W/m² in 2017. On the other hand, the global-average emitted power decreased by 6.8 ± 0.4% from 2.410 ± 0.008 W/m² in 2004 to 2.246 ± 0.007 W/m² in 2017. Panel B of Figure 2 shows the anomaly of global-average emitted power and solar flux (i.e., the ratio between the deviation from the time-mean value and the time-mean value), which also shows the temporal variations are much stronger in the solar flux (18.6%) than in the emitted power (~6.6%) during the Cassini period.

Figure 3 shows the temporal variations of the hemispheric-average emitted power and solar flux from 2004 to 2017. Panel A suggests that the emitted power decreased at a more constant rate in the SH than in the NH. In the SH, the emitted power continuously decreased from 2004 to 2016. From 2016 to 2017, the SH-average emitted power stopped the decreasing from 2004 to 2016. During the whole Cassini period, the SH-average emitted power decreased by 9.0 ± 0.5% from 2.419 ± 0.009 W/m² in 2004 to 2.202 ± 0.008 W/m² in 2017. In the NH, the temporal variations of the hemispheric-average emitted power are more complicated, which corresponds to the complicated behavior of the meridional profile in this hemisphere (Figure 1). The NH-average emitted power increased weakly from 2004 to 2008, then decreased from 2008 to 2010, then increased again from 2010 to 2013, and finally decreased from 2013 to 2017. From 2004 to 2017, the NH-average emitted power decreased by 4.7 ± 0.4% from 2.401 ± 0.007 W/m² to 2.289 ± 0.006 W/m². The decrease of the NH-average emitted power is about half of the decrease of the SH-average emitted power during the period of 2004–2017. The different temporal variations of emitted power between the SH and the NH is related to the hemispheric-average solar flux, which is shown in panel B of Figure 3. The strong decrease of solar flux in the SH, which is due to the seasonal transit (from summer to winter in the SH) and the increasing Sun-Titan distance, contributes to the strong decrease of emitted power in the SH. In the NH, the hemispheric-average solar flux actually increased, because the seasonal transit (from winter to summer in the NH) increases the sunlight to the NH and overcomes the negative influence from the
increasing Sun-Titan distance. The increasing solar flux and other factors as we previously mentioned (e.g., clouds, hazes, polar lakes/ seas, and air-surface interaction) both act on the emitted power in the NH. The global and hemispheric averages of emitted powers and their uncertainties during the Cassini period, which are displayed in Figures 2 and 3, are also listed in supporting information, Table S2.

In addition to the increasing and decreasing trends, Figures 2 and 3 also show some oscillation structures in the global and hemispheric averages of emitted. Supporting information, Figure S5 shows the fast Fourier transform analysis based on the time series shown in Figures 2 and 3. Figure S5 suggests that there is a periodic signal ~6.5 years in the global and hemispheric emitted power, which is marginally significant (around the 90% confidence level). Currently, we do not have good explanations for such a signal, which needs longer observations and more studies to further examine.

Figure S5 does not show any significantly long periodic signals close to the known 15-year cycle in Titan’s visible brightness (e.g., Sromovsky et al., 1981). It is probably because the current Cassini observations are not long enough to well revolve these long periodic signals. The other possibility is that Titan’s emitted power does not have the 15-year periodic signal. The 15-year signal was discovered in Titan’s global brightness, which is mainly caused by the hemispheric asymmetry of clouds (Sromovsky et al., 1981). On the contrary, the emitted power is mainly determined by the atmospheric temperature, which is further affected by more factors (e.g., radiative and dynamical processes). Therefore, it is possible that Titan’s emitted power does not have the 15-year cycle.

4. Conclusions and Implications

In this paper, the Cassini/CIRS long-term (2004–2017) observations are used to examine the characteristics of the seasonal cycle of Titan’s emitted power. The observations recorded by the three focal planes of the Cassini/CIRS are used to separate the emitted power from different vertical layers of Titan’s atmosphere, which suggests that the emitted power is affected more strongly by the varying solar flux in the stratosphere and low mesosphere (recorded by FP3 and FP4) than in the upper troposphere (recorded by FP1).

The meridional profiles of Titans emitted power show different temporal behaviors between the NH and the SH. Complicated temporal behaviors of Titan’s emitted power are revealed in the NH, which suggests that there are other factors beyond the solar flux driving Titan’s emitted power. In the SH, Titan’s emitted power displays more constant and stronger variations, which are mainly driven by the decreasing solar flux from 2004 to 2017. During the period of 2004–2017, the SH-average emitted power decreased ~9.0 ± 0.5%, which is roughly twice the decrease of the NH-average emitted power ~4.7 ± 0.4%.
At the global scale, Titan's emitted power decreased \(-6.8\%\) during the Cassini period (2004–2017). The Cassini period covers approximately one half of Titan's seasonal cycle. In addition, the global-average emitted power basically kept decreasing during the Cassini period (Figure 2). Therefore, the temporal variation of Titan's global-average emitted power during the Cassini period probably represents the magnitude of the seasonal cycle of Titan's global emitted power. The seasonal variations of Earth's global-average emitted power (Loeb et al., 2009) are less than 0.5%. Therefore, the seasonal variations of emitted power are at least one order of magnitude larger on Titan than on Earth, which are mainly due to different orbit eccentricities between Titan and Earth.

In addition, the temporal variation of Titan's global-average emitted power \(-6.8\%\) is much smaller than the decrease of the solar flux \(-18.6\%\) during the Cassini period, which suggests significantly dynamical energy budget on Titan. It is also possible that there is a global energy imbalance exists on Titan if the time-mean albedo, and hence, time-mean absorbed solar power cannot balance the time-mean emitted power during the Cassini period. Earth's energy imbalances at the timescales of seasons and years (Hansen et al., 2005, 2011; Loeb et al., 2009; Trenberth et al., 2007; Trenberth & Fasullo, 2010) are both in the magnitude of 0.1%, but such small energy imbalances have a large impact on global warming (Hansen et al., 2005, 2011; Trenberth et al., 2014; Trenberth & Fasullo, 2010). Therefore, the possible radiant energy imbalance on Titan must be examined further in the future.

To precisely determine Titan's radiant energy budget and the possible energy imbalance, we have to measure the temporal variations of Titan's albedo and hence absorbed solar power, the other component of Titan's radiated energy budget. Titan's albedo was investigated in previous studies (Karkoschka, 1994, 1998; Lockwood & Thompson, 2009; Maltagliati et al., 2015; Muñoz et al., 2018; Sromovsky et al., 1981; Tomasko & Smith, 1982; Younkin, 1974), but it would be beneficial to calculate it with the Cassini observations. The visual and infrared mapping spectrometer and the imaging science subsystem on Cassini both provide data that can help us get much more accurate measurements of the absorbed solar power (Li et al., 2018). More importantly, the long-term continuous Cassini observations make it possible to measure the temporal variations of Titan's albedo and the absorbed solar power for the first time. We are still working on these measurements related to Titan's albedo.

Even though Titan's radiant energy budget is probably imbalanced during the Cassini period (\(\sim\)one half of Saturnian year), it is still possible that it is balanced at the relatively long timescales (e.g., \(\geq\)one Saturnian year) because there are no significant energy sources other than the radiant energies (i.e., the emitted thermal energy and the absorbed solar energy) for the atmospheric system of Titan. The emitted power from Saturn (Li et al., 2010) drops to a magnitude of \(10^{-2}\) W/m\(^2\) at the distance of Titan, which is much smaller than Titan's emitted power. Titan's surface heat flow (Sohl et al., 1995; Tobie et al., 2006) and tidal heat (Sohl et al., 1995) are both on the magnitude of \(10^{-3}\) W/m\(^2\).

When discussing Titan's radiant energy budget and the possible energy imbalance, there is one more concern should be paid attention: a possible phase lag of the seasonal cycle between the global-average emitted power and the solar flux. Our analysis based on the current limited observations suggests that a 3-year time lag exists between Titan's global-average emitted power and solar flux. The observations at the relatively long timescales (e.g., \(\geq\)one Saturnian year), which come from the ground-based telescopes, the Hubble Space Telescope, and the future James Webb Space Telescope, will help us better understand the time lag between the emitted power and solar flux and hence Titan's energy budget.

In addition, the theoretical studies can help us understand Titan's radiant energy budget at longer timescales. Numerical modeling is becoming more detailed and some experiments now are carried out with inclusion of quite elaborate mechanisms, such as methane thermodynamics, precipitation, and seasonal cycle (Dowling et al., 2006; Friedson et al., 2009; Lebonnois et al., 2012; Lora et al., 2015; Mitchell et al., 2009; Newman et al., 2011; Tokano et al., 1999). The combination of the observational analyses and theoretical studies will help us better understand the radiant energy budget and the related climate on this amazing satellite.
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